28

29



## BHTMR -4 MII: 12 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION CELDA e Matter of **MUR 6229** CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE 6 7 Patrick Hughes for US Senate **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM** 8 and Bryan J. Freel, as Treasurer 9 10 GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT 11 12 13 Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated 14 are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The 15 16 Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-17 rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial 18 discretion to dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6229 as a 19 low-rated matter. 20 In this matter, the complaint, filed by Louis G. Atsaves, alleges that Patrick 21 Hughes for US Senate and Bryan J. Freel, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively 22 "the Committee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the 23 Act") by distributing campaign literature without required disclaimers. 24 In response, the Committee states that it distributed approximately 10,000 copies 25 of a flyer person-to-person, by hand, to campaign supporters at campaign events. Also, 26 none of the flyers were mailed or disseminated electronically. The Committee asserts 27 that from the text of the flyer, which included the Committee's official name and address,

and the methods of its distribution, there was no confusion that the flyer was anything

other than a public communication prepared by the Committee.

## MUR 6229 General Counaci's Report Page 2

1	The Committee acknowledges, however, that the disclaimers required by 11
2	C.F.R. § 110.11 were not included on the face of the flyer, but asks that the Commission
3	dismiss this matter because its failure to include required disclaimers was inadvertent, it
4	terminated distribution of the flyer after becoming aware that disclaimers were missing,
5	and it has not and will not repeat the violation.

In light of the remedial action taken by the Committee and the fact that the flyer contained the Committee's name, physical address, and internet address, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office intends on reminding Patrick Hughes for US Senate and Bryan J. Freel, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the disclaimer requirements provided in 2 U.S.C. § 441d and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 as they relate to campaign flyers.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6229, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends reminding Patrick Hughes for US Senate and Bryan J. Freel, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 concerning the requirement to affix appropriate disclaimers on campaign flyers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A review of Commission records indicates that the Committee is not a recidivist.

## MUR 6229 General Counsel's Report Page 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	3/4/10		Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel
6	Date / /	BY:	Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel
, 8			Complaints Examination
7 8 9			& Legal Administration
10			
11			(   1/2 - ( ) /
12			
13			Jeff S. Jordan
14			Supervisory Attorney
15			Complaints Examination
16 17			& Legal Administration
18			
19			$\mathcal{L}$
20			Trucy Ligin
21			Tracey L. Ligoh
22			Attorney
23			
24 25	L		
25 26	1		
40			